

## Lecture 2 - Exercise correction

**Exercise 1.** *Compute the speed of a Cesium 133 atom in a 300K environment. Considering using a laser tuned to be on resonance with the  $D_2$  line, compute the typical number of emission-absorption cycles this atom must undergo to reach a temperature of  $100 \mu\text{K}$ . Assuming that Cesium atoms travel along a well defined direction, compute the typical distance which is required to cool and trap a Cesium atom. Deduce the typical size of a vacuum system used in a neutral atom quantum computer.*

The Cesium most-probable speed is  $v(300\text{K}) = \sqrt{2kT/m} \simeq 200\text{m/s}$ . At each absorption, Cesium loses a speed  $v_r = \hbar k/m$  where  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  with  $\lambda = 852 \text{ nm}$ . We obtain  $v_r \simeq 3.5 \times 10^{-3}\text{m/s}$ . The most probable speed at  $100 \mu\text{K}$  is  $v(100\mu\text{K}) \simeq 10\text{cm/s}$ . We therefore obtain a typical number of cycles  $N \simeq 6 \times 10^4$  to reach this speed. A Cesium atom can scatter at most about  $\Gamma/2$  photons on the  $D_2$  line, with  $\Gamma \simeq 2\pi \times 5.2\text{MHz}$ , meaning that the scattering rate is roughly  $R \sim 1.6 \times 10^7\text{s}^{-1}$ . This means that cooling Cesium takes a time  $t \sim 4 \times 10^{-3}\text{s}$ . Since the exerted force on the atom is constant, its mean speed during cooling is about  $100\text{m/s}$ , meaning that the typical distance which is required to slow Cesium is  $d \sim 0.4\text{m}$ . The typical linear size of the vacuum system of a neutral atom quantum computer is therefore in the range of tens of centimeter.

**Exercise 2.** *Assuming a  $\text{Be}^+$  ion in a Paul trap as described above with  $V_0 = 100 \text{ V}$  and  $z_c = 1 \text{ mm}$ , compute the minimal value of  $\omega_{\text{rf}}$  which satisfies the condition on the stability region described above.*

We obtain  $\omega_{\text{rf}} \geq 4.8 \times 10^7 \text{ rad/s}$  and subsequently  $f_{\text{rf}} = \omega_{\text{rf}}/2\pi \simeq 7.7\text{MHz}$ , meaning that stable trapping of ions requires MHz-scale frequency variations of the trapping potential.

**Exercise 3.** *Considering rubidium 87, derive the impact of black-body radiation given above ( $T_1^{\text{BBR}} \sim 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ ) at 300K using the thermal photon occupation formula of a 300K environment.*

At 300K, the thermal occupation number at the relevant frequency here ( $f_0 = 6.8\text{GHz}$ ) is  $\bar{n} = 1/(e^{\hbar 2\pi f_0/k_B T} - 1) \sim 900 \sim 10^3$ . The stimulated rate induced by BBR is thus:  $\Gamma_{\text{BBR}} = \bar{n}T_1 \sim 10^{-9}\text{s}^{-1}$ , meaning that we expect one event every 1 billion seconds: negligible.

**Exercise 4.** *Considering rubidium 87, compute the Raman scattering rate for light at 820 nm and assuming a trap depth of 1 mK. Also compute the laser power required to trap an atom, assuming that the optical tweezers has a Gaussian profile with a radius waist of  $1 \mu\text{m}$ . Perform the same computations (Raman scattering rate and required laser power) now assuming a wavelength of 850nm. What conclusion do you reach from*

these calculations? Can you provide an advice on the tweezers wavelength one should use to build a neutral atom quantum computer?

For a wavelength of 820nm,  $\Delta \simeq 2\pi \times 20\text{THz}$ , the linewidth of the D2 line is  $\Gamma \simeq 2\pi \times 6\text{MHz}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{FS}} = 2\pi \times 7\text{THz}$ . The Raman scattering rate is  $\Gamma_R \simeq \Gamma U \Delta_{\text{FS}}^2 / 3\hbar \Delta^3 \simeq 0.8\text{s}^{-1}$ , meaning that  $T_1 \simeq 1\text{s}$  for 820nm light. For 850nm light, we find  $\Gamma_R \simeq 0.16\text{Hz}$ , meaning  $T_1 \simeq 6\text{s}$ .

The laser power  $P$  which is required to produce a trap with  $U = 1\text{mK}$  depth on the D2 line atomic transition frequency  $w_0$  (780nm) and with natural linewidth  $\Gamma$ , considering a waist  $w = 1\mu\text{m}$ , is:  $P = U w^2 w_0^3 \Delta / (3c^2 \Gamma) \simeq 2.2\text{mW}$  for 820nm tweezers and  $P \simeq 3.8\text{mW}$  for 850nm tweezers. There is therefore a trade-off between available laser power and qubit quality: the higher the wavelength, the higher the  $T_1$ , but the higher is the cost in terms of laser power to trap the atoms. Assuming a fixed amount of laser power, one should aim for the highest wavelength which still allows one to trap the required number of qubits for a specific application.

**Exercise 5.** *Considering rubidium 87, compute the energy shift that is applied to the ground states due to a static electric field of 1 V/cm (compare with the situation of 0 electric field). Perform the same for a magnetic field of 1 Gauss. Out of the 8 ground states of Rubidium, find the best qubit based on these calculations.*

An electric field  $E = 1\text{V/cm}$  applies a Stark shift  $\Delta E_{\text{Stark}} = -0.5\alpha E^2$  with  $\alpha$  the polarizability of the considered states. The polarizability of the ground states is essentially equal for all states and is  $\alpha \simeq 47 \times 10^{-24}\text{cm}^3$ , giving  $\Delta E_{\text{Stark}} \simeq 0.036\text{Hz}$ .

A magnetic field of strength  $B = 1\text{G}$  applies a Zeeman shift  $\Delta E_Z = g_F \mu_B m_F B$  where  $g_F$  is the Lande factor with values  $\pm 1/2$  for  $F = 2$  and  $F = 1$  respectively,  $\mu_B \simeq 9.3 \times 10^{-24}\text{J/T}$  is the Bohr's magneton, and  $m_F$  is the magnetic quantum number, with values  $-2, -1, 0, 1, 2$  depending on the considered states. We obtain:

- $F = 1, m_F = -1 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = 0.7\text{MHz}$
- $F = 1, m_F = 0 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = 0\text{MHz}$
- $F = 1, m_F = 1 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = -0.7\text{MHz}$
- $F = 2, m_F = -2 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = -1.4\text{MHz}$
- $F = 2, m_F = -1 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = -0.7\text{MHz}$
- $F = 2, m_F = 0 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = 0\text{MHz}$
- $F = 2, m_F = 1 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = 0.7\text{MHz}$
- $F = 2, m_F = 2 \rightarrow \Delta E_Z = 1.4\text{MHz}$

There are two states for which there is no linear Zeeman effect, which thus constitutes the best states for defining a qubit:  $|5S_{1/2}, F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$  and  $|5S_{1/2}, F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$ .

**Exercise 6.** Find the expression of  $\kappa$ .

$$\kappa = \tan^{-1}((12\beta^2\bar{\Delta}_{\text{LS}}t - \bar{\Delta}_{\text{LS}}^3t^3)/(8\beta^3 - 6\beta\bar{\Delta}_{\text{LS}}^2t^2))$$

**Exercise 7.** Demonstrate that  $P_0 = 1$  in the echo sequence described above.

A  $\pi$  rotation about  $y$  flips  $z$ :  $R_y(\pi/2)R_z(\theta)R_y(\pi)^\dagger = R_z(-\theta)$ . Since  $R_y(\pi)^\dagger = R_y(-\pi) = R_y(\pi)$  (up to a global phase), we can rewrite:  $R_y(\pi)R_z(\theta) = R_z(-\theta)R_y(\pi)$ . Therefore  $R_y(\pi/2)R_z(\delta t)R_y(\pi)R_z(\delta t)R_y(\pi/2) = R_y(\pi/2)R_y(\pi)R_y(\pi/2) = R_y(2\pi)$ , hence a  $2\pi$  rotation, and therefore  $P_0 = 1$ .

**Exercise 8.** Show on a Ramsey experiment that changing the phase of the second pulse by a quantity  $\phi$  is equivalent to applying  $R_z(\phi)$  during the Ramsey experiment.

We have  $H = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2}(\cos(\phi)X + \sin(\phi)Y)$ , and we assume a Ramsey experiment  $U_0$  with  $\phi = 0$  on the first and second pulses:  $U_0 = R_x(\pi/2)R_z(\delta t)R_x(\pi/2)$ . Now considering the case where the second pulse is performed with phase  $\phi$ , we perform the unitary  $R_\phi = R_z(\phi)R_x(\pi/2)R_z(-\phi)$ . We therefore obtain  $U = R_x(\pi/2)R_z(\delta t)R_\phi(\pi/2) = R_x(\pi/2)R_z(\delta t)R_z(\phi)R_x(\pi/2)R_z(-\phi)$ . Since a Ramsey experiment measures population in the computational basis, any phase rotation before or after  $x$  rotations do not impact the population. Therefore,  $R_z(-\phi)$  does not have any incidence on the Ramsey experiment, and thus we obtain that changing the phase of the second pulse by  $\phi$  is equivalent to applying  $R_z(\phi)$ .

**Exercise 9.** Show how to implement a local  $R_y(\theta)$  rotation using global  $\bar{R}_x$  rotations and local  $R_z$  rotations.

$$R_y(\theta) = \bar{R}_x(\pi/2)R_z(\theta)\bar{R}_x(-\pi/2)$$

**Exercise 10.** Assuming that  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$ , demonstrate the formula giving the amount of scattering during a  $\pi$  pulse.

The two-photon Rabi frequency is  $\Omega = \Omega_1\Omega_2/(2\Delta) = \Omega_1^2/(2\Delta)$ . The effective scattering rate from the intermediate state is  $\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \gamma(\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)/(4\Delta^2) = \gamma\Omega_1^2/(2\Delta^2)$  with  $\gamma$  the scattering rate from the intermediate state. We thus obtain  $\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \gamma\Omega/\Delta$ . Following Rabi's formula, the excitation duration  $t$  for performing a  $\pi$  pulse is  $t = \pi/\Omega$ , meaning that  $P_{\text{scat}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}t = \pi\gamma/\Delta$ .

**Exercise 11.** Assuming Rubidium 87, compute the typical one-photon Rabi frequency using a laser on resonance on the  $D_1$  line (795 nm) using a laser with a power of 1 mW with a Gaussian profile and a radius waist of 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Assuming a second laser identical to the first one and set to perform the Raman transition, and a measured  $T_1 = 10$  ms for both qubit states, what is the optimal value of  $\Delta$  which maximizes the single qubit gate fidelity, and what is the expected fidelity?

The Rabi frequency  $\Omega_1$  is given by  $\Omega_1 = \gamma\sqrt{I/(2I_{\text{sat}})}$  with  $\gamma \simeq 2\pi \times 5.8$  MHz the linewidth of  $5P_{1/2}$ , and  $I_{\text{sat}} \simeq 3.6\text{mW/cm}^2$  the saturation intensity of the D1 line. The laser intensity is  $I = 2P/(\pi w^2) = 6 \times 10^{-3}\text{W/m}$ , giving  $\Omega_1 \simeq 2\pi \times 170$  MHz (we here do not consider Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for simplicity).

We assume performing a  $\pi$  pulse, meaning that the excitation time is  $t = \pi/\Omega = 2\pi\Delta/\Omega_1^2$ . We need to consider two decoherence mechanisms:

- The  $T_1$ -induced decoherence with probability  $P_T = 1 - e^{-t/T_1} = 1 - e^{-2\pi\Delta/T_1\Omega_1^2}$ .
- The decay from the intermediate state with probability  $P_{\text{scat}} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma_{\text{eff}}t} = 1 - e^{-t\gamma\Omega_1^2/(2\Delta^2)} = 1 - e^{-\pi\gamma/\Delta}$ . Interestingly, this decoherence channel does not depend on  $\Omega_1$ : the gate duration is  $t \propto 1/\Omega_1^2$ , and the decoherence rate is  $\Gamma_{\text{eff}} \propto \Omega_1^2$ .

The total decoherence probability is the multiplication of both effects:  $P_{\text{err}} = 1 - e^{-2\pi\Delta/T_1\Omega_1^2 - \pi\gamma/\Delta}$ . The optimum is found when  $dP/d\Delta = 0$ , meaning  $2\pi/(T_1\Omega_1^2) = \pi\gamma/\Delta^2$ , and thus for  $\Delta = \sqrt{\gamma T_1\Omega_1^2/2} \simeq 2\pi \times 72\text{GHz}$ . This gives a fidelity  $F = 1 - P_{\text{err}} \simeq 99.95\%$ .

**Exercise 12.** Assuming an energy level repartition following the hydrogen structure, find the scaling  $U_{\text{vdW}} \propto n^{11}$ .

As seen in the lecture,  $U_{\text{vdW}} \propto d^4/\Delta$ . Since the electron's orbit scales as  $n^2$ , the dipole size also scales as  $d \propto n^2$ . For hydrogen, the states energies are  $E \propto 1/n^2$ , meaning that  $\Delta \sim dE/dn \propto 1/n^3$ . Plugging the scalings, we get  $U_{\text{vdW}} \propto n^{11}$ .

**Exercise 13.** Demonstrate that in the regime  $\Omega_r/(2\pi) \ll U_{\text{vdW}}/h$ , the two atom Hamiltonian applied to  $|00\rangle$  has a coupling strength of  $\sqrt{2}\Omega_r$  to the  $|W\rangle$  state.

We apply  $H_{\text{ryd}}^2$  to  $|00\rangle$ . Since  $\Omega_r/(2\pi) \ll U_{\text{vdW}}/h$ ,  $|rr\rangle$  is far-detuned and therefore the system can only couple to  $|0r\rangle$  and  $|r0\rangle$ . Assuming  $\phi_r = 0$ , we obtain  $H_{\text{ryd}}^2|00\rangle = \frac{\hbar\Omega_r}{2}(|0r\rangle + |r0\rangle) = \frac{\hbar(\sqrt{2}\Omega_r)}{2}|W\rangle$ , meaning that the coupling to  $|W\rangle$  is  $\sqrt{2}\Omega_r$ .

**Exercise 14.** Assuming  $\text{Yb}^+$ , a laser at 355 nm and  $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 5$  MHz, compute the typical Lamb-Dicke parameter value.

$$\eta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_z}} \simeq 0.14.$$

**Exercise 15.** Assuming the neutral atom platform capabilities in terms of single- and two-qubit gates, describe a circuit which allows to implement a CNOT gate. Describe a circuit that allows to implement a Toffoli gate (described in Lecture 1).

From the lecture, neutral atom: arbitrary single-qubit gates and CZ gate. A CNOT gate is composed of  $\text{CNOT}_{c \rightarrow t} = (I \otimes H)\text{CZ}(I \otimes H)$ , meaning that the protocol is:

- Apply Hadamard on the target
- Apply CZ between control and target

- Apply Hadamard on the target

For the Toffoli, we denote  $a, b$  the controls qubit, and  $c$  the target qubit. We have  $CCNOT_{a,b \rightarrow c} = (I \otimes I \otimes H)CCZ(I \otimes I \otimes H)$ . Defining the T-gate  $T = R_z(\pi/4)$ , the CCZ gate is performed by doing:

1. a T-gate on the 3 qubits  $(T_a, T_b, T_c)$
2.  $CZ_{a,b}$  followed by  $T_b^\dagger$ .
3.  $CZ_{b,c}$  followed by  $T_c^\dagger$ .
4.  $CZ_{a,c}$  followed by  $T_c$ .
5.  $CZ_{b,c}$  followed by  $T_c^\dagger$ .
6.  $CZ_{a,c}$  followed by  $T_c$ .
7.  $CZ_{a,b}$  followed by  $T_b$ .

which starts to be a lot of gates.

**Exercise 16.** *Same exercise but now considering the trapped ion platform.*

From the lecture, trapped ions: arbitrary single-qubit gates and  $R_{YY}(\pi/2)$  gate.  $CNOT_{c \rightarrow t} = R_x^t(-\pi/2)R_y^c(\pi/2)R_{YY}(\pi/2)R_y^c(-\pi/2)R_x^t(\pi/2)$ .

The CCNOT gate can be built upon the CNOT gate via:

- $H_c$
- $CNOT_{b \rightarrow c}$  followed by  $T_c^\dagger$
- $CNOT_{a \rightarrow c}$  followed by  $T_c$
- $CNOT_{b \rightarrow c}$  followed by  $T_c^\dagger$
- $CNOT_{a \rightarrow c}$  followed by  $T_b$
- $T_b, T_c$  and  $H_c$

where each CNOT has been decomposed into the native gates above.